

Structure Dominates Localization of Tracers within Aging **Nanoparticle Glasses**

Ryan Poling-Skutvik,^{†©} Ryan C. Roberts,[†] Ali H. Slim,[†] Suresh Narayanan,[‡] Ramanan Krishnamoorti,^{†©} Jeremy C. Palmer,^{*,†©} and Jacinta C. Conrad^{*,†©}

[†]Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, University of Houston, Houston, Texas 77204-4004, United States [‡]Advanced Photon Source, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois 60439, United States

S Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: We investigate the transport and localization of tracer probes in a glassy matrix as a function of relative size using dynamic X-ray scattering experiments and molecular dynamics simulations. The quiescent relaxations of tracer particles evolve with increasing waiting time, t_w . The corresponding relaxation times increase exponentially at small t_w and then transition to a power-law behavior at longer t_w . As tracer size decreases, the aging behavior weakens and the particles become less localized within the matrix until they delocalize at a critical size ratio $\delta_0 \approx 0.38$. Localization does not vary with sample age even as the relaxations slow by approximately an order of magnitude, suggesting that matrix structure controls tracer localization.



ransport of small molecules, tracers, and particles within disordered glassy matrices can destabilize biological molecules in harsh environments,^{1,2} govern the production of optically active nanoparticles,³ affect the performance of membranes,^{4,5} and introduce functionality in polymer nanocomposites.⁶⁻¹² In each scenario, understanding how matrix structure and dynamics¹³ affect particle transport is essential for predicting the properties of these composite materials. Localization of particles within glasses,¹⁴ for example, depends on particle size¹⁵⁻¹⁷ as well as on void structure^{18,19} and slow dynamics^{16,20} of the matrix. Because tracer relaxations may occur on time scales that are significantly shorter than those of the matrix, this localization transition can exhibit strong similarities to dynamic arrest within a random matrix of immobile obstacles.^{21–25}

Fundamental understanding of tracer transport and localization within glassy matrices, however, is complicated by the fact that glasses age over time. Aging is a universal phenomenon in glasses, occurring in metallic and inorganic glasses,^{26,27} polymers,²⁸ and colloids²⁹ and manifesting in macroscopic changes such as shrinkage³⁰ and increases in mechanical properties.³¹ Microscopically, the dynamics in glasses evolve after the sample is quenched out-of-equilibrium, slowing, and becoming more heterogeneous with aging time $t_{\rm w}$.³²⁻³⁴ In the aging regime, heterogeneous stress relaxations^{27,35} often lead to hyperdiffusive matrix dynamics.³⁶⁻³⁸ Surprisingly, the mechanical and dynamical changes occur with only minor changes in two-body metrics of matrix structure over time.^{34,39,40} Although molecular¹³ or particulate⁴⁰⁻⁴³ tracers are commonly used to probe glassy behavior, how their dynamics depend on the structure and dynamics of the glassy matrix remains incompletely understood. An aging matrix whose dynamics evolve but whose structure remains effectively constant provides a model system by which to investigate the

relative roles of matrix structure and dynamics on transport of tracer particles in heterogeneous complex media.

In this Letter, we investigate the transport and localization of tracer particles in aging glassy nanoparticle matrices, using Xray photon correlation spectroscopy and event-driven molecular dynamics (MD) to probe a complementary range of time scales. We characterize the dynamics of tracers whose size is smaller than or comparable to that of the matrix particles. The relaxations of tracers much larger than the matrix particles are hyperdiffusive, suggesting that large tracers probe relaxation of internal stresses in the matrix. We observe two distinct aging regimes for both matrix and tracers, indicating that the relaxations of the tracers couple to those of matrix particles. The localization length quantifies the degree of coupling and decreases as the tracer-to-matrix particle size ratio δ is reduced. This length scale diverges at $\delta_0 \approx 0.38$, near the value at which tracers exhibit anomalous collective transport in glassy matrices,^{16,20} but does not depend on matrix age. The lack of change in the localization length across a range of waiting times relative to relaxation time $10^{-4} \leq t_w/\tau \leq 10^3$ suggests that structure strongly influences the transition from diffusion to localization in glassy materials, even though matrix relaxation enables anomalous diffusive transport of finitesized tracers. By connecting tracer size to localization, we provide a basis from which to predict transport properties through slowly relaxing and heterogeneous materials.

Polystyrene particles (Thermo-Fisher Scientific) with diameter σ = 60 nm and polydispersity 10% were acquired as an aqueous suspension with volume fraction ϕ = 0.1. Silica particles from nanoComposix with nominal diameters, σ_{nom} of

Received: February 1, 2019 Accepted: March 27, 2019 Published: March 27, 2019

20 and 60 nm and polydispersities <10% were acquired as aqueous suspensions with $\phi = 0.0023$ and 0.0046, respectively. To account for electrostatic repulsions, which modify the effective particle size,⁴⁴⁻⁴⁶ we use the Barker-Henderson formalism to map the tracers onto a hard-sphere model (Supporting Information). This procedure yields effective hard sphere diameters of $\sigma_t = 47 \pm 4$ and 99 ± 2 nm for the nominally sized 20 and 60 nm tracers, respectively. Normalizing these hard-sphere sizes by the polystyrene matrix diameter gives $\delta \equiv \sigma_t / \sigma = 0.78 \pm 0.06$ and 1.65 ± 0.03 , respectively. Polystyrene and silica suspensions were pipetted in appropriate ratios into an Amicon microcentrifuge filter tube with a 10 kDa cutoff and centrifuged at 3000g for 30 min. The centrifuge tube was then refilled with additional stock suspensions and centrifuged again to produce a glassy material near random close packing ($\phi \approx 0.64 \pm 0.03$)⁴⁷ (Supporting) Information) with silica to polystyrene volume ratio of 0.002. Sample age is characterized by the waiting time t_{wt} the elapsed duration from the end of centrifugation. The glassy sample was then placed into a 2 mm thick aluminum sample holder, which was sealed with Kapton windows to prevent evaporation and attached to a copper block with Peltier to regulate temperature. Small-angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) indicated that the tracers were well-dispersed in the glass (Supporting Information). Xray photon correlation spectroscopy (XPCS) data were collected at a frame rate of 1 s⁻¹ on the 8 ID-I beamline at the Advanced Photon Source at Argonne National Laboratory over a wavevector range that depended on scattering intensity so that 0.003 Å⁻¹ $\leq q \leq$ 0.009 Å⁻¹ for nominal 60 nm tracers and 0.006 Å⁻¹ $\leq q \leq$ 0.017 Å⁻¹ for nominal 20 nm tracers.

We also performed complementary event-driven MD simulations to investigate tracer diffusion in aging glass matrices. Fundamental units of σ , m, and $1/k_{\rm B}$ were adopted in the simulations for length, mass, and temperature, respectively. The corresponding unit of simulation time is t_s $\equiv \sigma(m/k_{\rm B}T)^{1/2}$. The glass matrix was modeled as a 35component mixture of N = 2048 hard-spheres.^{48,49} The composition was chosen to yield a discrete normal distribution of particle sizes (mean 1.0, std. 0.1), matching the ca. 10% polydispersity of the experimental system. Similarly, a threecomponent mixture of hard-sphere tracers with 5% polydispersity was embedded in the matrix at 0.002 volume fraction, resulting in at least 40 tracers per simulation cell. The simulations were performed at T = 1, and the mass for matrix particles of average size was set to unity (i.e., m = 1), yielding a density $m\sigma^{-3} = 1$. Masses of the remaining matrix species and tracers were scaled to obtain the same mass density for each particle in the system. The matrix was compressed in a cubic simulation cell to achieve a final packing fraction $\phi = 0.59$. Simulations at higher volume fractions did not relax on accessible time scales. We note, however, that aging behavior is qualitatively similar for volume fractions near or below the glass transition.⁵⁰ We correspondingly define t_w as the elapsed duration after the end of the compression. 48,51,52 For each value of $\delta = [0.5, 1.2]$ examined, reported results were averaged over at least 72 independent simulations, each initiated from a new matrix configuration. This range of δ was chosen to extend experimental results toward a critical size $\delta_0 = 0.35 \pm 0.05$ associated with anomalous dynamics.¹⁶

Experimentally, the high scattering contrast of the silica tracer particles in X-rays, relative to the other components, ensures that the intensity correlation function g_2 measures the relaxations of tracer particles within the glassy matrix of

polystyrene nanoparticles. The experimental g_2 values and those calculated from simulations decay as a function of time and depend on both δ and t_w (Figure 1). To quantify the tracer



Figure 1. Normalized intensity correlation function $(g_2 - 1)/B$ at a normalized wavevector $q\sigma = 3.5$ as a function of time *t* for different t_{w} . Experimental data for tracers of size (a) $\delta = 1.65$ and (b) $\delta = 0.78$. Simulation data for tracers of size (c) $\delta = 1$ and (d) $\delta = 0.65$. Curves in panels a and b are best fits to stretched exponential functions. (e) Schematic of ratio of waiting time to relaxation time t_w/τ in experiments (yellow) and simulations (blue).

dynamics, we fit experimental data according to $g_2 = 1 + Bg_1^2 + \varepsilon$, where *B* is the global experimental contrast related to beam geometry and coherency, $g_1 = A(q) \exp[-(t/\tau)^{\beta}]$ is the self-intermediate scattering function, A(q) is a wavevector-dependent contrast, and β is a positive fitting exponent; ε captures any residual noise. Because g_2 curves from simulations have less statistical noise, we determine A(q) and $\tau = A(q)/e$ by reading directly from the individual curves.

Using simulations and experiments, we measure autocorrelation curves for tracers with various δ across many orders of magnitude in t_w (Figure 1). In simulation, the computational cost of the calculations limits the maximum time scale that can be explored. In experiment, by contrast, the time necessary to load the sample into the beamline places a lower bound on t_{w} . Simulation and experiment thus probe complementary ranges of t_w/τ (Figure 1). As samples age, relaxations in both experiments and simulations become slower, as is common for glassy materials.⁵³ In experiments, the relaxations of the large tracers ($\delta = 1.65$) are compressed with $\beta > 1$, indicating that these large tracers move hyperdiffusively. Hyperdiffusive relaxations are commonly observed in glassy systems with either attractive or repulsive interactions and are attributed to anisotropic stress relaxations^{27,30,35,37,38,54} or to relaxations occurring in regions that are power-law distributed in size.^{55,56} In both experiments and simulations, the relaxations of smaller tracers (δ < 1.65) are stretched with β < 1 rather than compressed. Experimental g_2 curves for $\delta = 0.78$ are noisier because of lower scattering intensity but still have sufficient signal-to-noise to quantify tracer dynamics with high reliability.

The degree of stretching quantified by β agrees within error for comparably sized tracers in experiments and simulations (Supporting Information). Previous studies^{16,20} found that smaller tracers can exhibit fast and anomalous transport. This fast transport arises from size-dependent coupling of hardsphere tracer dynamics to relaxations of the surrounding glassy matrix, in which the interplay between void diffusion of the tracer particles and slow relaxations of the matrix led to a broad spread of relaxation times.⁵⁷ Thus, the stretched relaxations of small tracer particles likely also reflect coupling to a wider distribution of relaxation modes than are inaccessible to the larger, hyperdiffusive particles. Additionally, as the samples age, the tracer relaxations typically become less stretched (β increases) in both simulations and experiments (Figure 1 and Supporting Information). The increase in β with increasing t_w is consistent with previous work on glassy laponite suspensions⁴² and suggests that the tracers access a narrower distribution of relaxation modes as the glass ages.³

As the samples age, the relaxation times initially increase exponentially for short t_w and then transition into a power-law increase $\tau \sim t_w^n$ with 0 < n < 0.5 at larger t_w (Figure 2). Similar two-step aging processes have been observed for glasses and gels.^{27,30,36,50,58,59} In experiments, small tracers relax more slowly than large tracers at large t_w . These slow relaxations likely arise not from the tracer size but rather from small



Figure 2. Relaxation time τ at a wavevector $q\sigma = 3.5$ as a function of waiting time t_w for tracers of different size as measured (a) in experiments and (b) with simulations. Dashed lines are guides to the eye to indicate power-law behaviors.

changes in ϕ between samples. Near random close packing, even minor changes in ϕ (Supporting Information) can result in increases in τ of over an order of magnitude.⁶⁰ Indeed, τ increases monotonically with tracer size δ in simulations for which ϕ is precisely controlled. Thus, we focus on the qualitative two-step aging mechanism captured in both simulations and experiments. Although the physics underlying the two aging regimes remains poorly understood, one picture proposes that the exponential increase arises from cage formation before entering the power-law full-aging regime.⁶¹⁻⁶³ Within this long-time aging regime, the power-law exponent n is thought to be related to the deepening and shifting of local minima in the underlying free-energy landscape over time.^{32,64-66} As the tracer size decreases, *n* also decreases, indicating that smaller tracers can more easily relax out of these local energy minima and explore the landscape. Additionally, the lower n of small tracers suggest that they may be less spatially localized than larger tracers within the glassy matrix.

Spatial localization manifests as a complex decay in g_2 , with an initial relaxation on very short time scales that corresponds to cage rattling followed by a final relaxation that arises as a particle escapes its localization cage.⁶⁷ Although the short-time relaxation occurs on time scales faster than those accessible in experiments, the short-time plateau A(q) in g_2 is less than 1 for all tracer particles at all t_w (Figure 3). This suppressed plateau



Figure 3. Normalized intensity correlation function $(g_2 - 1)/B$ as a function of time *t* for different values of normalized wavevector $q\sigma$. Experimental data for tracers of size (a) $\delta = 1.65$ and $t_w = 8400$ s and (b) $\delta = 0.78$ and $t_w = 8800$ s. Simulation data at $t_w = 10^5$ for tracers of size (c) $\delta = 1$ and (d) $\delta = 0.65$. Curves in panels a and b are best fits to stretched exponential functions.

depends on wavevector q, confirming that the tracer particles undergo an initial relaxation within a localization cage. The localization length L_1 was extracted from the plateau's qdependence according to $A(q) = \exp[-(L_1q)^2/6]$. For experimental measurements, L_1 is globally fit across all wavevectors at each waiting time to reduce error associated with determining the intercept for each g_2 curve at a specific q. For simulations, A(q) is extracted directly from the calculated g_2 curves. In both simulation and experiment, L_1 does not vary significantly with sample age (Supporting Information) but does depend on particle size (Figure 4). As particle size



Figure 4. Localization length L_1 in units of σ as a function of tracer size δ . Inset: Inverse of localization length as a function of $\delta - \delta_0$, where δ_0 is a critical tracer size at which dynamics become delocalized. Solid curve shows scaling $L_1^{-1} \sim (\delta - \delta_0)^{1/2}$.

increases, L_1 decreases monotonically as particles become more strongly caged. The effect of this localization on A(q) depends on the wavevector range over which g_2 is measured. This range is restricted by limitations in scattering intensity in experiments and by computational cost in simulations. Because of the degree of probe localization and the restrictions on the range of accessible wavevectors, the range of normalized wavevector qL_1 is shifted to smaller values for large tracers than it is for small tracers. Thus, even at comparable $q\sigma$, A(q) is more suppressed for small tracers than for large tracers (Figure 3).

Accounting for delocalization at a finite size $\delta_0^{16,20,68,69}$ and treating the probe dynamics within a microrheological framework^{70,71} so that localization is determined by the elastic modulus of the matrix,^{72,73} the localization length should scale with tracer size as $L_1 \sim (\delta - \delta_0)^{-1/2}$ (Supporting Information). The derived scaling behavior cleanly captures the trend in the data with $\delta_0 = 0.38 \pm 0.01$ (inset to Figure 4). This value of δ_0 is in good agreement with the size ratio at which tracers become delocalized, $\delta \approx 0.35 \pm 0.05$, reported in previous studies on anomalous tracer dynamics in glassy matrices.^{16,20} Although electrostatic interactions are present in experiments, the hard-sphere mapping leads to quantitative agreement between simulation and experiment independent of relative sample age, t_w/τ .

The agreement between the predicted scaling behavior and the measured localization length provides insights into the physics governing tracer transport through glassy matrices. First, delocalization depends not only on the structure of the surrounding matrix but also on the competition between tracer and matrix relaxations.^{16,20} Within the resolution of experiments and simulations, L_1 does not vary with t_w across the range of time scales investigated here (Supporting Information). The lack of a significant aging effect on L_1 suggests that the matrix structure, which does not change appreciably with age, may play a larger role in localizing tracer motion than the competition between matrix and tracer relaxations, which both slow with age (Figure 2). Near delocalization with $\delta \approx \delta_0$, however, the mobility of the matrix is essential for tracers to fully relax out of the transient localization plateau.¹⁶ Second, the agreement between the scaling prediction and observed localization indicates that the relaxations of tracers with $\delta > \delta_0$ are coupled to those of the matrix and thus probe the bulk viscoelasticity of the dense colloidal suspension. This coupling explains the qualitatively similar evolution of tracer and matrix relaxations with t_w (Figure 2).

Our experiments and simulations address how the relative size of tracer particles affect their transport and localization in a glassy matrix. Tracer relaxations are coupled to those of the matrix as the system ages. The tracers become less localized with decreasing size, eventually approaching a delocalization transition at a critical relative size of $\delta_0 \approx 0.38$. The physical picture developed in this work provides direct insight into how particles move and transport through crowded environments with heterogeneous structure and slowly relaxing dynamics, present in materials from cells and tissues to polymer composites to membranes. These findings thus provide a base for predicting particle transport in a wide range of scientifically and industrially relevant processes. Our work addresses localization to matrix structure and dynamics in the simplest case, in which particles interact as pseudohard spheres at a single volume fraction. Understanding how this localization transition depends on volume fraction, particle anisotropy, or interparticle interaction strength and range remains poorly understood and should be explored in future studies.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.jp-clett.9b00309.

Static scattering patterns, hard-sphere mapping, fitting parameters τ and β , relaxations of small tracers, simulated tracer mean-squared displacements, derivation of L_1 scaling, and L_1 vs t_w (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

*E-mail: jcpalmer@uh.edu (J.C.P.). *E-mail: jcconrad@uh.edu (J.C.C.).

ORCID 🔍

Ryan Poling-Skutvik: 0000-0002-1614-1647 Ramanan Krishnamoorti: 0000-0001-5831-502X Jeremy C. Palmer: 0000-0003-0856-4743 Jacinta C. Conrad: 0000-0001-6084-4772

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research used resources of the Advanced Photon Source, a U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Office of Science User Facility operated for the DOE Office of Science by Argonne National Laboratory under Contract No. DE-AC02-06CH11357. Support was provided by the National Science Foundation (CBET-1803728, to JCC) and the Welch Foundation (E-1869 to JCC and E-1882 to JCP).

REFERENCES

(1) Carpenter, J. F.; Crowe, L. M.; Crowe, J. H. Stabilization of Phosphofructokinase with Sugars during Freeze-Drying: Characterization of Enhanced Protection in the Presence of Divalent Cations. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta, Gen. Subj.* **1987**, *923*, 109–115.

(2) Cicerone, M. T.; Douglas, J. F. β-Relaxation Governs Protein Stability in Sugar-Glass Matrices. *Soft Matter* **2012**, *8*, 2983–2991.

(3) Simo, A.; Polte, J.; Pfänder, N.; Vainio, U.; Emmerling, F.; Rademann, K. Formation Mechanism of Silver Nanoparticles Stabilized in Glassy Matrices. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 18824– 18833.

(4) Zhang, K.; Meng, D.; Muller-Plathe, F.; Kumar, S. K. Coarse-Grained Molecular Dynamics Simulation of Activated Penetrant Transport in Glassy Polymers. *Soft Matter* **2018**, *14*, 440–447.

(5) Chang, K.; Korovich, A.; Xue, T.; Morris, W. A.; Madsen, L. A.; Geise, G. M. Influence of Rubbery versus Glassy Backbone Dynamics on Multiscale Transport in Polymer Membranes. *Macromolecules* **2018**, *51*, 9222–9233.

(6) Cai, L.-H.; Panyukov, S.; Rubinstein, M. Mobility of Nonsticky Nanoparticles in Polymer Liquids. *Macromolecules* **2011**, *44*, 7853–7863.

(7) Kalathi, J. T.; Yamamoto, U.; Schweizer, K. S.; Grest, G. S.; Kumar, S. K. Nanoparticle Diffusion in Polymer Nanocomposites. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2014**, *112*, 108301.

(8) Grabowski, C. A.; Mukhopadhyay, A. Size Effect of Nanoparticle Diffusion in a Polymer Melt. *Macromolecules* **2014**, *47*, 7238–7242.

(9) Poling-Skutvik, R.; Krishnamoorti, R.; Conrad, J. C. Size-Dependent Dynamics of Nanoparticles in Unentangled Polyelectrolyte Solutions. *ACS Macro Lett.* **2015**, *4*, 1169–1173.

(10) Kumar, S. K.; Benicewicz, B. C.; Vaia, R. A.; Winey, K. I. 50th Anniversary Perspective: Are Polymer Nanocomposites Practical for Applications? *Macromolecules* **2017**, *50*, 714–731.

(11) Chen, R.; Poling-Skutvik, R.; Nikoubashman, A.; Howard, M. P.; Conrad, J. C.; Palmer, J. C. Coupling of Nanoparticle Dynamics to Polymer Center-of-Mass Motion in Semidilute Polymer Solutions. *Macromolecules* **2018**, *51*, 1865–1872.

(12) Sorichetti, V.; Hugouvieux, V.; Kob, W. Structure and Dynamics of a Polymer-Nanoparticle Composite: Effect of Nanoparticle Size and Volume Fraction. *Macromolecules* **2018**, *51*, 5375–5391.

(13) Paeng, K.; Park, H.; Hoang, D. T.; Kaufman, L. J. Ideal Probe Single-Molecule Experiments Reveal the Intrinsic Dynamic Heterogeneity of a Supercooled Liquid. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 2015, *112*, 4952–4957.

(14) Heckendorf, D.; Mutch, K. J.; Egelhaaf, S. U.; Laurati, M. Size-Dependent Localization in Polydisperse Colloidal Glasses. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2017**, *119*, 048003.

(15) Voigtmann, T. Multiple Glasses in Asymmetric Binary Hard Spheres. *Europhys. Lett.* **2011**, *96*, 36006.

(16) Sentjabrskaja, T.; Zaccarelli, E.; De Michele, C.; Sciortino, F.; Tartaglia, P.; Voigtmann, T.; Egelhaaf, S. U.; Laurati, M. Anomalous Dynamics of Intruders in a Crowded Environment of Mobile Obstacles. *Nat. Commun.* **2016**, *7*, 11133.

(17) Zhang, R.; Schweizer, K. S. Correlated Matrix-Fluctuation-Mediated Activated Transport of Dilute Penetrants in Glass-Forming Liquids and Suspensions. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2017**, *146*, 194906.

(18) Spanner, M.; Höfling, F.; Schröder-Turk, G. E.; Mecke, K.; Franosch, T. Anomalous Transport of a Tracer on Percolating Clusters. J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 2011, 23, 234120.

(19) Spanner, M.; Höfling, F.; Kapfer, S. C.; Mecke, K. R.; Schröder-Turk, G. E.; Franosch, T. Splitting of the Universality Class of Anomalous Transport in Crowded Media. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2016**, *116*, 060601.

(20) Roberts, R. C.; Poling-Skutvik, R.; Palmer, J. C.; Conrad, J. C. Tracer Transport Probes Relaxation and Structure of Attractive and Repulsive Glassy Liquids. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2018**, *9*, 3008–3013.

(21) Kim, K.; Miyazaki, K.; Saito, S. Slow Dynamics in Random Media: Crossover from Glass to Localization Transition. *Europhys. Lett.* 2009, 88, 36002.

(22) Kurzidim, J.; Coslovich, D.; Kahl, G. Single-Particle and Collective Slow Dynamics of Colloids in Porous Confinement. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2009**, *103*, 138303.

(23) Kurzidim, J.; Coslovich, D.; Kahl, G. Impact of Random Obstacles on the Dynamics of a Dense Colloidal Fluid. *Phys. Rev. E* **2010**, *82*, 041505.

(25) Skinner, T. O. E.; Schnyder, S. K.; Aarts, D. G. A. L.; Horbach, J.; Dullens, R. P. A. Localization Dynamics of Fluids in Random Confinement. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2013**, *111*, 128301.

(26) Ruta, B.; Chushkin, Y.; Monaco, G.; Cipelletti, L.; Pineda, E.; Bruna, P.; Giordano, V. M.; Gonzalez-Silveira, M. Atomic-Scale Relaxation Dynamics and Aging in a Metallic Glass Probed by X-Ray Photon Correlation Spectroscopy. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2012**, *109*, 165701.

(27) Ruta, B.; Pineda, E.; Evenson, Z. Relaxation Processes and Physical Aging in Metallic Glasses. *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **2017**, *29*, 503002.

(28) Cangialosi, D. Dynamics and Thermodynamics of Polymer Glasses. J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 2014, 26, 153101.

(29) Courtland, R. E.; Weeks, E. R. Direct Visualization of Ageing in Colloidal Glasses. J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 2003, 15, S359.

(30) Cipelletti, L.; Manley, S.; Ball, R. C.; Weitz, D. A. Universal Aging Features in the Restructuring of Fractal Colloidal Gels. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2000**, *84*, 2275–2278.

(31) McKenna, G. B.; Narita, T.; Lequeux, F. Soft Colloidal Matter: A Phenomenological Comparison of the Aging and Mechanical Responses with Those of Molecular Glasses. *J. Rheol.* **2009**, *53*, 489– 516.

(32) Kob, W.; Barrat, J.-L. Aging Effects in a Lennard-Jones Glass. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1997**, *78*, 4581–4584.

(33) Cloitre, M.; Borrega, R.; Leibler, L. Rheological Aging and Rejuvenation in Microgel Pastes. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2000**, *85*, 4819–4822.

(34) Yunker, P.; Zhang, Z.; Aptowicz, K. B.; Yodh, A. G. Irreversible Rearrangements, Correlated Domains, and Local Structure in Aging Glasses. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2009**, *103*, 115701.

(35) Bouzid, M.; Colombo, J.; Barbosa, L. V.; Del Gado, E. Elastically Driven Intermittent Microscopic Dynamics in Soft Solids. *Nat. Commun.* **2017**, *8*, 15846.

(36) Bellour, M.; Knaebel, A.; Harden, J. L.; Lequeux, F.; Munch, J. P. Aging Processes and Scale Dependence in Soft Glassy Colloidal Suspensions. *Phys. Rev. E: Stat. Phys., Plasmas, Fluids, Relat. Interdiscip. Top.* **2003**, *67*, 031405.

(37) Cipelletti, L.; Ramos, L.; Manley, S.; Pitard, E.; Weitz, D. A.; Pashkovski, E. E.; Johansson, M. Universal Non-Diffusive Slow Dynamics in Aging Soft Matter. *Faraday Discuss.* **2003**, *123*, 237–251.

(38) Bandyopadhyay, R.; Liang, D.; Yardimci, H.; Sessoms, D. A.; Borthwick, M. A.; Mochrie, S. G. J.; Harden, J. L.; Leheny, R. L. Evolution of Particle-Scale Dynamics in an Aging Clay Suspension. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2004**, *93*, 228302.

(39) Cianci, G. C.; Courtland, R. E.; Weeks, E. R. Correlations of Structure and Dynamics in an Aging Colloidal Glass. *Solid State Commun.* **2006**, *139*, 599–604.

(40) Lynch, J. M.; Cianci, G. C.; Weeks, E. R. Dynamics and Structure of an Aging Binary Colloidal Glass. *Phys. Rev. E* 2008, 78, 031410.

(41) Kaloun, S.; Skouri, R.; Skouri, M.; Munch, J. P.; Schosseler, F. Successive Exponential and Full Aging Regimes Evidenced by Tracer Diffusion in a Colloidal Glass. *Phys. Rev. E* 2005, *72*, 011403.

(42) Schosseler, F.; Kaloun, S.; Skouri, M.; Munch, J. P. Diagram of the Aging Dynamics in Laponite Suspensions at Low Ionic Strength. *Phys. Rev. E* **2006**, *73*, 021401.

(43) Strachan, D. R.; Kalur, G. C.; Raghavan, S. R. Size-Dependent Diffusion in an Aging Colloidal Glass. *Phys. Rev. E* 2006, 73, 041509.

(44) Levitz, P.; Lecolier, E.; Mourchid, A.; Delville, A.; Lyonnard, S. Liquid-Solid Transition of Laponite Suspensions at Very Low Ionic Strength: Long-Range Electrostatic Stabilisation of Anisotropic Colloids. *Europhys. Lett.* **2000**, *49*, 672.

(45) Van Megen, W.; Pusey, P. N. Dynamic Light-Scattering Study of the Glass Transition in a Colloidal Suspension. *Phys. Rev. A: At., Mol., Opt. Phys.* **1991**, *43*, 5429–5441.

(46) Park, N.; Conrad, J. C. Phase Behavior of Colloid–Polymer Depletion Mixtures with Unary or Binary Depletants. *Soft Matter* **2017**, *13*, 2781–2792.

(47) Poon, W. C. K.; Weeks, E. R.; Royall, C. P. On Measuring Colloidal Volume Fractions. *Soft Matter* **2012**, *8*, 21–30.

(48) Zaccarelli, E.; Liddle, S. M.; Poon, W. C. K. On Polydispersity and the Hard Sphere Glass Transition. *Soft Matter* **2015**, *11*, 324– 330.

(49) Zaccarelli, E.; Valeriani, C.; Sanz, E.; Poon, W. C. K.; Cates, M.; Pusey, P. Crystallization of Hard-Sphere Glasses. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2009**, *103*, 135704.

(50) El Masri, D.; Berthier, L.; Cipelletti, L. Subdiffusion and Intermittent Dynamic Fluctuations in the Aging Regime of Concentrated Hard Spheres. *Phys. Rev. E* **2010**, *82*, 031503.

(51) Puertas, A. M. Aging of a Hard-Sphere Glass: Effect of the Microscopic Dynamics. J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 2010, 22, 104121.

(52) Foffi, G.; Zaccarelli, E.; Buldyrev, S.; Sciortino, F.; Tartaglia, P. Aging in Short-Ranged Attractive Colloids: A Numerical Study. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2004**, *120*, 8824–8830.

(53) Zhao, J.; Simon, S. L.; McKenna, G. B. Using 20-Million-Year-Old Amber to Test the Super-Arrhenius Behaviour of Glass-Forming Systems. *Nat. Commun.* **2013**, *4*, 1783.

(54) Ramos, L.; Cipelletti, L. Ultraslow Dynamics and Stress Relaxation in the Aging of a Soft Glassy System. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2001**, *87*, 245503.

(55) Rogers, M. C.; Chen, K.; Andrzejewski, L.; Narayanan, S.; Ramakrishnan, S.; Leheny, R. L.; Harden, J. L. Echoes in X-ray Speckles Track Nanometer-Scale Plastic Events in Colloidal Gels Under Shear. *Phys. Rev. E* 2014, *90*, 062310.

(56) Rogers, M. C.; Chen, K.; Pagenkopp, M. J.; Mason, T. G.; Narayanan, S.; Harden, J. L.; Leheny, R. L. Microscopic Signatures of Yielding in Concentrated Nanoemulsions Under Large-Amplitude Oscillatory Shear. *Phys. Rev. Mater.* **2018**, *2*, 095601.

(57) Laurati, M.; Sentjabrskaja, T.; Ruiz-Franco, J.; Egelhaaf, S. U.; Zaccarelli, E. Different Scenarios of Dynamic Coupling in Glassy Colloidal Mixtures. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2018**, *20*, 18630–18638.

(58) Guo, H.; Ramakrishnan, S.; Harden, J. L.; Leheny, R. L. Gel Formation and Aging in Weakly Attractive Nanocolloid Suspensions at Intermediate Concentrations. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2011**, *135*, 154903.

(59) Angelini, R.; Zulian, L.; Fluerasu, A.; Madsen, A.; Ruocco, G.; Ruzicka, B. Dichotomic Aging Behaviour in a Colloidal Glass. *Soft Matter* **2013**, *9*, 10955.

(60) Cheng, Z.; Zhu, J.; Chaikin, P. M.; Phan, S.-E.; Russel, W. B. Nature of the Divergence in Low Shear Viscosity of Colloidal Hard-Sphere Dispersions. *Phys. Rev. E: Stat. Phys., Plasmas, Fluids, Relat. Interdiscip. Top.* **2002**, 65, 041405.

(61) Bonn, D.; Tanaka, H.; Wegdam, G.; Kellay, H.; Meunier, J. Aging of a Colloidal "Wigner" Glass. *Europhys. Lett.* **1999**, 45, 52–57.

(62) Bonn, D.; Kellay, H.; Tanaka, H.; Wegdam, G.; Meunier, J. Laponite: What is the Difference Between a Gel and a Glass? *Langmuir* **1999**, *15*, 7534–7536.

(63) Tanaka, H.; Jabbari-Farouji, S.; Meunier, J.; Bonn, D. Kinetics of Ergodic-to-Nonergodic Transitions in Charged Colloidal Suspensions: Aging and Gelation. *Phys. Rev. E* **2005**, *71*, 021402.

(64) Utz, M.; Debenedetti, P. G.; Stillinger, F. H. Atomistic Simulation of Aging and Rejuvenation in Glasses. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2000**, *84*, 1471–1474.

(65) Bouchaud, J. P. Aging in glassy systems: experiments, models, and open questions. In *Soft Fragile Matter: Nonequilibrium Dynamics, Metastability and Flow;* 2000; pp 285–304.

(66) Lubchenko, V.; Wolynes, P. G. Theory of Aging in Structural Glasses. J. Chem. Phys. 2004, 121, 2852–2865.

(67) Joshi, Y. M. Dynamics of Colloidal Glasses and Gels. Annu. Rev. Chem. Biomol. Eng. 2014, 5, 181–202.

(68) Thakur, J. S.; Bosse, J. Glass Transition of Two-Component Liquids. I. The Debye-Waller Factors. *Phys. Rev. A: At., Mol., Opt. Phys.* **1991**, *43*, 4378–4387.

(69) Thakur, J. S.; Bosse, J. Glass Transition of Two-Component Liquids. II. The Lamb-Mössbauer Factors. *Phys. Rev. A: At., Mol., Opt. Phys.* **1991**, *43*, 4388–4395.

(70) Mason, T. G.; Weitz, D. A. Optical Measurements of Frequency-Dependent Linear Viscoelastic Moduli of Complex Fluids. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1995**, *74*, 1250–1253.

(71) Squires, T. M.; Mason, T. G. Fluid Mechanics of Microrheology. Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech. 2010, 42, 413–438.

(72) Mason, T. G.; Weitz, D. A. Linear Viscoelasticity of Colloidal Hard Sphere Suspensions Near the Glass Transition. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1995**, *75*, 2770–2773.

(73) Greinert, N.; Wood, T.; Bartlett, P. Measurement of Effective Temperatures in an Aging Colloidal Glass. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2006**, *97*, 265702.